



# 3 distinct net zero energy systems are possible in Canada.

One could eventually dominate, or a mix of systems could emerge.

## FACTORS affecting how our energy systems will evolve:

### Within Canada's control

- Domestic policy
- Infrastructure
- Land-use priorities
- Research, development and demonstration

### Outside Canada's control

- Technology adoption abroad
- Global market trends
- Global climate policy
- Technological innovation

## Canada has more ADVANTAGES than other countries in pursuit of net zero:

- Resources
- Land mass
- Infrastructure
- Know-how

### SYSTEM 1

## Fossil fuels + negative emissions

Fossil fuels continue to provide much of our energy

Emissions are offset by negative emissions solutions, requiring both engineered and nature-based solutions

### Upsides

- Avoids need to replace existing fossil fuel infrastructure
- Less structural change in the economy

### Downsides

- Burning fossil fuels has **negative health and environmental consequences**
- May only **delay the transition** to another system (may need the negative emissions for other uses later)
- Avoiding structural change may mean **lost opportunities**

### Barriers

- ➔ Technology is only at **demonstration stage**, would need to prove cost-effective and scalable; other countries' investment in it is still uncertain
- ➔ Would require a **massive build out** of negative emissions facilities and infrastructure
- ➔ Would require development of a **large and complex** offset trading system
- ➔ Public sees solution as **risky**

### Big questions

- ➔ Should negative emissions capacity be reserved for the net negative emissions many global assessments say is necessary in the latter part of this century **to avoid severe climate change?**
- ➔ How should health impacts from air pollution in this system **affect Canada's choices?**

### SYSTEM 2

## Biofuels

Energy comes primarily from "second-generation" biofuels made from plants and waste (such as switchgrass and wood waste)

### Upsides

- Can use **existing fossil fuel infrastructure**
- Could generate **negative emissions** where biofuel combustion emissions were captured and sequestered, **helping to offset emissions elsewhere**

### Downsides

- **Social equity and justice challenges** associated with the large land-use footprint
- Land conversion requirements would also have **significant environmental impacts**

### Big questions

- ➔ What are the implications of Canada going it **more alone** with this system?
- ➔ How should this system's **land-use footprint** affect Canada's choices?

### Barriers

- ➔ Few countries can do this at scale, so Canada would likely **be going it alone**
- ➔ **Massive** land use footprint, with implications for food production, biodiversity, Indigenous peoples
- ➔ Serious questions about the **viability and ultimate costs** of the technologies

### SYSTEM 3

## Electrification + hydrogen

Emissions-free electricity is the dominant form of energy, with hydrogen used in areas that are difficult to run on electricity

### Upsides

- **Lower air pollution** than in other systems
- **Potential export opportunities**, as this will be the type of net zero energy system most commonly adopted abroad

### Downsides

- **Big departure** from the status quo
- Some types of electricity generation and transmission infrastructure may be **more vulnerable** to effects of climate change

### Big questions

- ➔ What implications does **the logistical complexity** of realizing this system have?
- ➔ What could **affect Canada's ability** to compete globally for export opportunities?

